

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/681,483	(04/13/2001	Kun Zhang	GEMS8081.081 7333		
27061	7590	06/21/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		ENT SOLUTION RBURG ROAD	DADA, BEEMNET W			
MEQUON,				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2135		

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

10	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/681,483	ZHANG ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Beemnet W. Dada	2135	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folking places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in composition of time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	affidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires and (1) the mailing data of this Adv		us final rejection, whichever	oria lator. In no
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th			er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)		IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the is after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension of the final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	-	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amendment	F (PTOL_324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendment	(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-6,8-13,15-17 and 19-31</u> . Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17,19-29 and 31</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be	out before or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will r	not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.			ance because:
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	IDTO/CD/09 or DTO 1//01 Dance	No(e)	

13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: with respect to 35 USC 101 rejection of independent claim 17, applicant argued that the claim as amended recites a pratical application of a computer data signal embodied in a carrier wave that causes a processor to perform a series of process steps and therefore the claim is statutory. Examiner diagrees, claim 17 is directed to a data signal. a data signal does not fall within one of the the four statutory calsses of 101 (see also, Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Application for Patent Subject Matter Eligiblity, pages 55-57). Applicant further argued that Moeller fails to teach request to be made over a first communication and for transmission a code over a second communication interface different from the first communication interface. Examiner disagrees. Examiner would point out, as disscussed in the last office action, Moeller teaches displaying a GUI (i.e., selection from a menu) configured to facilitate a request over a first communication interface to enable an inactive option resident on a remote device (note that request can be transmitted over a telephone) [column 4, lines 29-35 and lines 63-67] and transmitting the code to the device having the inactive option over a second communication interface different from the first communication interface [column 4, lines 41-46 and column 5, lines 1-10]. Examiner asserts that Moller teaches the claim limitations and therefore respectfully maintains the rejection.

JVIM VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100